

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION JAN 17 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America,	Case No. 4:21-mg-70900 MAG
Plaintiff, v. Marro Sabel Gruz-Calix	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Marro Sabel Gruz-Calix	to.
Defendant(s).	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $1/17/2023$, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from $1/17/2023$ to $3/21/23$ and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § $3161(h)(7)(A)$. The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the pros	the to [check applicable reasons] the number of ecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would der taking into account the exercise of due d	ny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, liligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would und counsel's other scheduled case commitmed See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	reasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nents, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unnecessary for effective preparation, takin See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	reasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ng into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the court sparagraph and — based on the parties' sthe time limits for a preliminary hearing	aking into account the public interest in the prompt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
DATED: 1/17/23	Kandis A. Westmore Donna Kyu
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	United States Magistrate Judge Assistant United States Attorney